

**CASES PENDING FOR PROSECUTION SANCTION FOR MORE  
THAN THREE MONTHS AS ON 31-01-2010**

**BEFORE THE STATE GOVERNMENT**

<b>Com mon S.N.</b>	<b>Cr.No.</b>	<b>Name of the Officer/ Employee with Designation and Department</b>	<b>Recommen- dation sent on</b>
1	31/08	1- Shri Jayaswal Mayer , 2- Shri Luxmi Kant Dwevedi IAS, the then Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Katni. 3- Shri Ramesh Singh Baghel, the then Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Katni. 4- Shri Santosh Kumar Jain, Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation Katni.	8-7-09

**REGARDING ALL INDIA SERVICE OFFICIALS**

<b>Com mon S.N.</b>	<b>Cr.No.</b>	<b>Name of the Officer/ Employee with Designation and Department</b>	<b>Recomm- endation sent to the State Govt.</b>	<b>Date on which the recommendation was sent to the Central Govt. by the Govt. State</b>
		NIL		

**BEFORE VARIOUS BODIES OF STATE GOVERNMENT**

<b>Com mon S.N.</b>	<b>Cr.No.</b>	<b>Name of the Officer/ Employee with Designation and Deptt.</b>	<b>Recomm- endation sent on</b>	<b>Competent Authority.</b>
2	22/07	1. Shri Narendra Singh Panwar, in charge C.M.O. 2. Shri Rajendra Parashar, In charge Revenue Inspector 3. Subhash Parihar, Assistant, in charge Revenue Inspector 4. Shri Pannalal Khede, Accountant 5. Shri Sukaji Nayak, Peon, Nagar Panchayat Onkareshwar District Khandwa.	22.02.09	Chairman, Nagar Panchayat Onkareshwar District Khandwa.
3	7/98	Shri Dilip Singh Chauhan, Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Indore.	05.09.09	Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Indore.

4	24/09	Shri Omkar Kale,Assistant Grade - 2 Bhopal Development Authority Bhopal	24.10.09	Chairman, Bhopal Development Authority Bhopal.
---	-------	---	----------	--

**BEFORE DIFFERENT ORGANIZATION S OF CENTRAL  
GOVERNMENT**

Com mon S.N.	Cr.N o.	Name of the Officer/ Employee with Designation and Deptt.	Recomm- endation sent on	Department

**BEFORE VARIOUS BODIES OF CENTRAL GOVERNMENT**

Com mon S.N.	Cr. No.	Name of the Officer/ Employee with Designation and Deptt.	Recomm- endation sent on	Organization/Body
		NIL		

Note -

It was held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Vineet Narayan V/s Union of India, AIR 1998 S.C. 889, that Prosecution sanction should be granted within three months. The Hon'ble Supreme Court observed. "Time limit of three months for grant of sanction for prosecution must be strictly adhered to. However, additional time of one month may be allowed where consultation is required with the Attorney General [AG] or any other law Officer in the AG's office."

2. It was directed by the Hon'ble Madhya Pradesh High Court in Writ Petition No.10163/2005 and Writ Petition No.17172/2006, Rajneesh Jain v. State of Madhya Pradesh & Others decided on 15.05.2007"that henceforth the respondents will ensure that orders on the recommendations of the Lokayukt Sangathan for sanction under Section 19 of the Prevention of Corruption Act are passed by the sanctioning authority within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the recommendation or within a period of four months from the date of the receipt of the recommendation where consultation is required by the Advocate General or the Law Officer of the AG Office. We further direct that where the State Government is not the sanctioning authority, the request for sanction will be sent to the Central Government or any other sanctioning authority within two months of receipt of the request from the Lokayukt Sangathan and since the State Government in such cases is not the sanctioning authority, it will not make its own recommendations."

3. In all the above cases the prosecution sanction has not been granted even after the lapse of three months.